

Azam Khan are acquitted of the charges leveled against them. They be set at liberty forthwith if not required in any other case."

JK/166/P

Appeal allowed.

2018 M L D 1592

[Lahore]

Before Muhammad Farrukh Irfan Khan, J

Mst. AYESHA SHAHID---Petitioner

versus

ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE and others---Respondents

Writ Petition No. 63673 of 2017, decided on 26th March, 2018.

Guardians and Wards Act (VIII of 1890)---

---S. 12---Interim custody of minor---Visitation schedule of minor with his father---Scope---Overnight stay with father---Welfare of minor---Scope---Meeting of minor with father in Court premises---Effect---Petitioner/mother contended that Appellate Court had wrongly chalked out overnight stay of minor with his father/respondent as the same would affect his growth adversely and he was likely to confront some unpleasant situation there---Mother also apprehended removal of the minor out of territorial jurisdiction of the Court---Father contended that proper growth of the minor required love and affection of parents and Guardian Court had wrongly dismissed his prayer of meeting with his son out of Court premises---Validity---Welfare of minor was prime consideration before the Court, admittedly, respondent was father of the minor and being the natural guardian he had right of his supervision under the Islamic Law, therefore, on separation of the parents the minor could not be permanently deprived from the love and affection of either of the parents---Minor, in the present case, had crossed the age of six years, therefore, he should have maximum interaction with the father even if the custody was with the mother, otherwise, it may cause an estrangement in the mind of the child which may ultimately leave a vacuum in the accomplishment of his personality for deprivation of love, affection and company of his father---Court, in order to achieve such goal, was to make every possible effort to chalk out reasonable visitation schedule in friendly atmosphere---Meeting of the minor in the Court premises with the father was neither conducive

MLD

2018]

Aye:
(1)

nor effective and welfare of the minor. Appellate Court has bonds by the father which was sufficient removal of the minor illegality or infirmity by the Appellate accordingly [pp. 1]

Sh. Zahid

Fahad Ahr

MUHAM

petition under Pakistan, 197 impugned judgment whereby the s against the or chalked out ma the following n

"i. The in petitioner 05.00 PM minors to

ii. On the entitled fo Fitar fro custody o Fitar at 5

iii. On th be entitle ul-Azha j custody c Azha.

iv. On ti father sh 5.00 PM minor to at 5.00 F

*f 16
ove 2017,
section*

MLD

nor effective and did not serve the purpose of meeting, therefore, welfare of the minor was in meeting with the father at his residence--- Appellate Court had already imposed condition of submission of surety bonds by the father at the time of taking over custody of the minor which was sufficient to dispel the apprehension of the mother regarding removal of the minor from the territorial jurisdiction of the Court---No illegality or infirmity having been noticed in the impugned order passed by the Appellate Court, constitutional petition was dismissed accordingly [pp. 1594, 1595] A, B, C & D

Sh. Zahid Mehmood for Petitioner.

Fahad Ahmad Siddiqui for Respondent No.3.

ORDER

MUHAMMAD FARRUKH IRFAN KHAN, J.---Through this petition under Article 199 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973 the petitioner calls in question the validity of impugned judgment of the learned Appellate Court dated 09.08.2017 whereby the said court while accepting appeal of respondent No.3 against the order of the learned Guardian Judge dated 02.07.2016 chalked out meeting schedule of the minor with respondent-father in the following manner:-

"i. The interim custody of the minor shall be handed over to the petitioner on 2nd and 4th Saturday of every calendar month at 05.00 PM and petitioner shall return the interim custody of the minors to the respondent on 2nd and 4th Sunday at 5.00 PM,

ii. On the occasion of Eid ul Fitar, the petitioner/ father shall be entitled for interim custody of the minors on 2nd day of Eid-ul-Fitar from 5.00 PM and petitioner shall return the interim custody of the minor to the respondent on the 3rd day of Eid ul Fitar at 5.00 PM

iii. On the occasion of Eid ul Azha, the petitioner/ father shall be entitled for interim custody of the minors on 2nd day of Eid-ul-Azha from 5.00 PM and petitioner shall return the interim custody of the minor to the respondent on the 3rd day of Eid ul Azha.

iv. On the occasion of birth day of the minor, the petitioner/ father shall be entitled for interim custody of the minor from 5.00 PM and petitioner shall return the interim custody of the minor to the respondent on the next day of birthday of the minor at 5.00 PM

of the
ove 2017,
section

MLD

v. On the occasion of birth day of father of the minor petitioner/ father shall be entitled for interim custody of the minor from 05:00 PM and petitioner/father shall return the interim custody of the minor to the respondent on the next day of birthday of father of the minor at 5.00 P.M.

vi. During summer vacations, the appellant will be entitled to have custody of minor for one month.

vii. During winter vacations, he will be entitled to meet with his minor children for five days."

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the visitation schedule set out by the learned Appellate Court is very harsh; that at present age of the minor is about six years and at this stage his overnight stay with the respondent-father is likely to affect his growth adversely; that the respondent-father is unable to properly maintain the minor as such, the petitioner being the real mother, has serious reservations that the minor may confront unpleasant situation over there or may encounter some psychological phenomena; that there is also strong apprehension that the respondent would remove the ward from the territorial jurisdiction of the Guardian Court; that the learned Guardian Judge had rightly formulated a schedule of meeting of the minor with the respondent/ father in the court premises which has illegally been modified by the learned Appellate Court.

3. On the converse, learned counsel for respondent No.3 submits that the respondent is real father of the minor; that proper growth of the minor requires love and affection of the parents and its deprivation from either side would not be in the welfare of the minor; that meeting schedule chalked out by the learned Guardian Judge in the court premises was not conducive as such the learned Appellate Court keeping in view the welfare of the minor has rightly formulated the visitation schedule which needs no intervention by this Court in its Constitutional jurisdiction.

4. Arguments heard. Record perused.

5. Irrespective of the fact whether the application before the learned Guardian Judge is under section 12(2) of Guardians and Wards Act, for interim custody of the minor or under section 25 of the Act *ibid* for permanent custody of the minor the only consideration which requires to be adhered by the learned Guardian Court is the welfare of the minor and nothing else. Admittedly, respondent No.3 is the real father of the minor and being the natural guardian he has right of his supervision under the Islamic Law. Therefore, on separation of parents the minor cannot be permanently deprived from the

have been p
July, 2017
prosecution
which must
prayed for
orders pass

8. On
submitted t
orders pass
in the Cons
upon the re
retrospectiv
to deposit
that it is a
July, 2017
tentative re
petitioner
I.R.R.O.;
prosecution
accordance
required th
of the pe
prosecution

9. Fu
agree with
advance re
that relief
has twice
responden
his submis
the judgm
(1982 SCI
(2012 YL)

10. I
contesting

11. T
been set c
be recapit

12. T
the resto
2017, th
section 17

affection of either of the parents. The minor has now crossed the age of six years, therefore, he should have maximum interaction with the father even if the custody is with the mother, otherwise, it may cause an estrangement in the mind of the child which may ultimately leave a vacuum in the accomplishment of his personality for deprivation of love, affection and company of his father. In order to achieve this goal the concerned Court should make every possible effort to chalk out a reasonable visitation schedule in a congenial, homely and friendly atmosphere. The visitation schedule chalked out by the learned Guardian Judge in the court's premises while dismissing the application of the respondent for interim custody of the minor in no manner could be construed to meet the above objective. Meeting of the minor in the court premises with the father is neither conducive nor effective and does not serve the purpose of meeting. Therefore, welfare of the minor lies that his meeting with the respondent-father should be arranged at his residence. The learned Appellate Court after taking into consideration all the pros and cons has rightly chalked out the visitation schedule of the minor in the above terms which in my considered view is in the interest and welfare of the minor. The learned Appellate Court has already imposed a condition of submission of surety bonds by the respondent at the time of taking over custody of the minor which is sufficient to dispel the apprehension of the petitioner regarding removal of the minor from the territorial jurisdiction of the Guardian Court. Learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to convince this Court in what manner the welfare of the minor is compromised if the impugned schedule is implemented upon, as such this Court is not inclined to intervene with the same in its Constitutional jurisdiction.

6. Resultantly, this writ petition is dismissed.

Petition dismissed.

MQ/A-45/L

2018 M L D 1595

[Islamabad]

Before Miangul Hassan Aurangzeb, J

Messrs PANTHER DEVELOPERS through
Ghulam Jillani---Petitioner

versus

ADDITIONAL DISTRICT JUDGE (ADJ),
WEST, ISLAMABAD and 2 others---Respondents

Writ Petition No.977 of 2018, decided on 10th April, 2018.